

UPDATED DAILY

MAR. 22-28, 2010 VOL. 24, NUMBER 50

> \$2.00 ©2010 Providence Business News Inc.

PROVIDENCE BUSINESS NEWS

YOUR LOCAL SOURCE FOR BUSINESS NEWS IN SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND

Digital Age hiring process filled with danger

Very few people

post pictures of

themselves

feeding the

hungry or doing

good deeds.

In these tough economic times, when one-third of states are experiencing double-digit unemploy-

ment

for

jobs

and

competition

heightened,

a word to

the wise for

job hunters

employees

seeking to

change jobs:

Think twice

before you

post infor-

mation and

pictures on

the Internet.

gone when a

print adver-

tisement

are

The days

long

those

and

is

scarce





GUEST COLUMN Brian J. Lamoureux and William E. O'Gara

served as the single platform of announcing a job opening and candidates could shield their identities and "inner self" until the face-to-face interview day arrived.

For employers: Be prepared for potential lawsuits if you made a hiring decision based on what you "found" online.

Today, the hiring process can be much different – and dangerous – for both the employers and candidates. Mintel International Group Ltd., reports that nearly all Fortune 500 companies use online recruiting as their primary source for hiring. This means that just as candidates are going online to search for jobs, employers are tempted to screen candidates by searching social-media sites to learn about applicants' lifestyles and just about everything else that might be found on Facebook, LinkedIn, MySpace, Twitter and blog postings.

Remember that picture of you at the party on the business end of a beer-filled funnel? Or how about that shirtless picture of you at a Patriots game? Harmless at the time, perhaps. But now that those photos may be publicly viewable for your future boss to see, not so harmless.

Interestingly, very few peo-

ple post pictures of themselves volunteering, feeding the hungry or doing good deeds. It seems that pictures showing the poorest judgment tend to make their way Facebook onto and MySpace. Go figure.

With the

explosion of social-networking such as Facebook. sites LinkedIn, MySpace and Twitter, there is a treasure trove of information available to employers when screening candidates. Whether we like it or not, employers have the legitimate right to consider most, but not all, of what they can publicly see about an applicant in making their hiring decisions. But if they go down that road, there are risks.

While employers may consider an applicant's poor judgment or character during the hiring process, pictures and postings may also reveal an applicant's protected status (such as race, gender, age or sexual orientation). Remember, pictures are worth a million words in the Digital Age.

The irony here is that employers may unwittingly or passively stumble onto information that would subject them to civil liability if they had asked candidates directly about their race, disabilities or sexual orientation, for example. The mere act of googling for information about a candidate carries the risk of obtaining knowledge that might not otherwise have surfaced. If an

employer does not hire the candidate, the burden will be on the employer to explain that the discovery was not "used" in the employer's decision.

There is another problem with using social-media sites

to screen applicants: If an employer only considers candidates who have social-media accounts on Facebook or LinkedIn, for example, employers may face liability under socalled "disparate impact" or "disparate treatment" theories.

The race, age, gender and education levels of the general working population are distinctly different from those who are Facebook, LinkedIn and other social-networking users. By focusing solely or heavily on hiring only candidates with a Facebook or LinkedIn account, for example, employers might unwittingly exclude members of a protected class from consideration, possibly resulting in discrimination litigation or claims by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

So, what should employers do? At a minimum, employers should have a written policy about what social media, if any, the employer will consult during the hiring process – and then follow that process.

For example, the safest (but perhaps not practical) route might be to avoid using online searching during the screening process. Another alternative would be to ensure that the decision-makers in the hiring process did not conduct any online searching themselves, but instead considered information that other employees provided to them (without protected information).

There are ways to use social media in the hiring process; employers just need to be careful and thoughtful before doing so.

And for all those job-seekers: Think carefully before you have that bachelor (or bachelorette) party picture taken in the first place; and think twice before posting it online or sharing it electronically with your "friends." In the Digital Age, Shakespeare might have said: "All the world's a stage online; and we are all merely players."

Brian J. Lamoureux is senior counsel at Pannone Lopes Devereaux & West LLC in Providence. He and firm partner William E. O'Gara advise employers on employmentrelated issues.

Providence Business News ©2010. Reprinted with permission, all rights reserved